

**Minutes of the 77<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Internal Technical Committee under Amended Technology Up gradation Fund Scheme (ATUFS) held on 19.11.2024 at 11:00 AM**

The 77<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Internal Technical Committee under Amended Technology Up gradation Fund Scheme (ATUFS) was convened on 19.11.2024 from 11:00 AM onwards (Hybrid Mode) under the Chairmanship of Shri Iqbal Ahmad, Director in the Conference Hall of the Office of the Textile Commissioner. The list of participants is attached as Annexure-I. The agenda points, which were discussed and decisions taken thereof are as under: -

**Agenda Point No 1: Verification and recommendation of proposals for enlistment of Machinery Manufacturers under ATUFS as per Para no. of 3.4 of Revised Resolution of ATUFS dated 02.08.2018 and subsequent order No. 6/5/2015-TUFS dated 06.08.2019.**

The list of 06 proposals (Abroad Manufacturer- 04, Authorised Agent -02) received from Textile Machine Manufacturers/Authorized Agents for enlistment under ATUFS, were scrutinized by the Internal Technical Committee. Out of the said 06 proposals, 04 proposals (Abroad-3, Authorized Agents-1) were recommended to the Textile Commissioner for her approval to upload the same on website of the Textile Commissioners Office (Attached herewith as Annexure-II). In respect of one Abroad Manufacturer, it was decided to call for details from RO Indore as there is a difference in the unit's name in Business license and machine name plate/invoice. In respect of One Authorised agent, the committee decided to not recommend the case for enlistment as there is difference in the signature of same person in copy of two MoUs submitted by the Unit and the unit's clarification is also not acceptable.

**Agenda Point No. 02 : Case Forwarded by TUFS Cell –regarding difference in Model No. in invoice vis a vis machine name plate reg.**

TUFS Cell forwarded issue regarding difference in model no for PLC Based Compacting Machine for Knitted fabrics (MC2-64) supplied by M/s. SINTEC TEXTILE SRL, Italy. TUFS Cell has submitted machinery manufacturer clarification, copy of machine invoice and name plate photo. The details are as under:

Model no.	
As per Commercial Invoice	As per Machine name plate
<b>TOPKNIT T2- 500</b>	<b>T2-500V</b>

*Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink, including "Soal" and "10".*

168

Manufacturer Declaration:

- **T2-500** - an abbreviation of TUBULAR
- **V**-is referring vapore (steam in Italian language), which denotes that a steam inlet pipe provision in machine.

ATUFS section comments: The clarification of the machine manufacturer may be considered as per the decision of 33rd TAMC (sub agenda no.15)/32nd TAMC meeting (sub agenda no.9).

Section Comments:

- In 32<sup>nd</sup> TAMC meeting (sub agenda no.9), the committee ratified the decision of ITC that the claims related model number may be considered based on clarification of the manufacturer itself and JIT confirmation on the claim.
- From the manufacturer website, it is noted **that T2-500V is a new model.**

Decision taken in 76<sup>th</sup> ITC: The committee decided to call for clarification as to why the word "TOPKNIT" does not appear in the Machine Name Plate.

The manufacturer submitted the clarification in view of 76<sup>th</sup> ITC decision and the same is as under.

- The written **TOPKNIT** is only part of the name of machine model and it doesn't have a real meaning. **Only it identifies the machine series.**
- Sometime it happens that there isn't enough space on the machine plate to write the complete name.
- Anyway, as per our declaration provided, **we confirm that the machine on the invoice, machine plate and declaration is the same** and we consider T2-500 as valid as a machine model identifier.

Section Comments: The similar case of same manufacturer for machine model A3-500 was deliberated in 47<sup>th</sup> ITC (dated 28.07.2022) and 48<sup>th</sup> ITC(dated 11.08.2022) meetings, where committee did not recommend the case as no co-relation could be established between the machine model name and its abbreviation. Further the model details were also not available in website and technical literature in website.

However in the aforesaid case, the mode details are available in website and correlation is given by the manufacturer.

Decision Taken: The committee decided to refer back the case to ATUFS section to decide the eligibility as per earlier decision taken in respect of similar cases in 33rd TAMC (sub agenda no.15)/32nd TAMC meeting (sub agenda no.9) and extant ATUFS guidelines.

**Agenda Point No - 03: Manufacturer Name difference in Invoice vis a vis machine name plate & enlisted name reg.**

RO- Navi Mumbai forwarded agenda regarding difference in name of the machine manufacturer on machine name plate and invoice for Two-For-one Twister machines supplied by M/s. Charlie Machines, Surat who is enlisted at Annexure-III. S.No.26. During scrutiny found that the name of manufacturer is mentioned as "Charlee Machines" instead of "Charlie Machines" in the machine name plates.

The machine manufacturer M/s. Charlie Machines has submitted clarification letter that they failed to notice spelling error (manufacturer name) in the machine name plates of particular batch which is outsourced from third party supplier along with supplier declaration.

Comments of OIC(RO-Navi Mumbai):

Based on the submission of machine manufacturer and subsequent confirmation from the name plate supplier, it appears that the mistake in spelling of enlisted machine manufacturer name is inadvertent which can be considered. Moreover, there is a logo on the machine name plate and the invoice (copy enclosed) issued by the manufacturer which is also matching.

Decision Taken in 68<sup>th</sup> ITC dated 22.06.2023:The Committee examined the submitted documents and directed to call for manufacturer branding exercise, marketing practices and their trademark certificate in respect of their logo along with invoice copy. In addition to that, clarification may be called for the difference in logo between machine name plate and letterhead.

The details of reply from the manufacturer as follows,

- i. Submitted note regarding note regarding branding exercise, marketing practices
- ii. Applied for trademark registration on 09.10.2023. As per the status shown in the Trademark registration website page, the trademark applied is accepted and advertised.
- iii. Submitted Copy of invoices in respect of M/s. Siddhachal Fab Pvt. Ltd. who purchased 08 No. of Two-For-one Twister machines

Decision Taken in 76<sup>th</sup> ITC:-The committee reviewed the documents and confirmed the trademark certificate from the DPIIT website. Hence, the committee recommended the case to consider for release of subsidy if other conditions are met as per ATUFS guidelines

Decision taken in 39<sup>th</sup>TAMC: Matter may be again reviewed in next ITC after scrutiny of Similar cases.

*Na* *Sang*

As per TAMC decision similar cases discussed in ITC as under,

S. No.	Name mentioned in invoice & as Enlisted in Annexure	Name mentioned in machine name plate	Decision taken
1	M/s. Qingdao <b>Sendlong</b> Machinery Co. Ltd., China	M/s. Qingdao <b>Shengdlong</b> Machinery Co. Ltd., China	Case forwarded by TUFS cell which was discussed in 59 <sup>th</sup> ITC dated 31.01.2023 and not recommended the case for release of subsidy. The same ratified in 33 <sup>rd</sup> TAMC dated 06.04.2023.
2	METOD <b>MAKINE</b> SAN. VE TIC. LTD. STI, ISTANBUL, TURKEY	METOD <b>MAKINA</b> SAN VE TIC LTD., STI	Case forwarded by TUFS cell which was discussed in 73 <sup>rd</sup> ITC dated 15.02.2024 and not recommended the case for release of subsidy. The same ratified in 38 <sup>th</sup> TAMC dated 04.07.2024.

In addition, the Competent Authority directed ATUFS cell to submit details of other claims of the said company through i-TUFS portal. ATUFS cell informed that there is no case reported for the machine manufacturer M/s. Charlie Machines.

**Decision Taken:** The Committee again examined the case and noted that manufacturer name mentioned in machine name plate is **CHARLEE** Machines instead of **CHARLIE** Machines. The manufacturer name in enlistment list in Annexure-III, invoice copy and trademark certificate is **CHARLIE** Machines. Hence, based on previous decisions taken in the various meetings of ITC/TAMC for similar cases, the committee did not recommend the case for consideration.

**Agenda Point No. 04:** Enlistment request of M/s. Shenzhen Homer Textile Tech Co., Ltd., China along with authorized agent M/s. Han Glory (Hong Kong) Limited. Hong Kong

M/s. Shenzhen Homer Textile Tech Co., Ltd. China applied for MC2-48 -Digital/Ink jet printing machines and submitted all required documents as per circular no.6 (2018-2019 series). The case was deliberated in 65<sup>th</sup> ITC (dated 03.05.2023), 68<sup>th</sup> ITC (dated 22.06.2023), 69<sup>th</sup> ITC(13.07.2023) and 71<sup>st</sup> ITC (dated- 24.08.2023) where the committee decided to call additional documents & details from the parent manufacturer regarding enlistment of above unit and their group companies.

The parent holding company **M/s. Shenzhen Hanglory Digital Printing Group Co. Ltd, China** submitted following declaration:

- Only M/s. Shenzhen Homer Textile Tech Co., Ltd and M/s. Shenzhen CNTOP Digital Equipment Co. Ltd involved in activity related to Indian customers out of 7 subsidiary manufacturing units.
- The enlistment of M/s. Shenzhen CNTOP Digital Equipment Co. Ltd is not required as of now and will apply if require in future and Other subsidiary companies were not involved in the past or near future otherwise their machines not considered for subsidy.
- The parent company M/s. Shenzhen Hanglory Digital Printing Group Co. Ltd is not involved in any commercial activities and transactions with Indian Customer. Hence, not applied for enlistment under ATUFS.
- The enlistment of M/s. Han Glory (Hong Kong) limited as authorized agent of subsidiary company M/s. Shenzhen Homer Textile Tech Co. Ltd. under ATUFS. The manufacturer has submitted MoU with commission 1%. However, it has been noted from audited balance sheet that the M/s. Han Glory (Hong Kong) limited is also a subsidiary unit only.
- The business practice: Sample copies of Commercial Invoice, Machine name plate photo, Bill of Lading, Packing List and Country Of origin is also submitted and details are as under:

Documents	Name mentioned
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial Invoice</li> <li>• Bill of Lading</li> <li>• Packing List</li> </ul>	M/s. Han Glory (Hong Kong) Limited. - Authorized agent
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Country of Origin</li> <li>• Machine name plate</li> </ul>	M/s. Shenzhen Homer Textile Tech Co. Ltd.- Manufacturer

Section observation:

- This office has translated the business licence and noted the name difference in manufacturer name, given below:

Document name	M/s. Shenzhen <b>Homer Textile Tech</b> Co. Ltd
Name in translated copy of business license	M/s. Shenzhen <b>Hongmei Digital textile Technology</b> Co. Ltd

In this regard, the unit submitted that direct translation leads to that difference however the application name i.e. M/s. Shenzhen Homer Textile Tech Co., Ltd is the correct one and the same followed in all commercial documents, ISO Certificate, Foreign Trade Operator form and machine name plate.

- The translated copy of Audited Group annual report - 2020 for establishing subsidiary relationships among all aforesaid companies.

lca

SMS

172

**Decision Taken:** The Committee gone through the documents submitted by the manufacturer and noted the manufacturer name difference in submitted documents along with machine name plate in comparison with translated business license copy. Hence, the committee did not recommend the case for enlistment.

**Agenda Point No. 05: Manufacturer Name difference in Invoice vis a vis machine name plate & enlisted name reg.**

RO-Amritsar vide their letter dated 04.09.2023 and TUFs cell vide note dated 19.01.2024 informed that name differences for DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L., COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE TESSILI, ITALY observed.

S No.	Particular	Manufacturer name
1	Invoice	DANTI PAOLO E C. S.R.L. <u>COSTRUZIONE</u> MACCHINE TESSILI
2	Machine name plate	DANTI PAOLO <u>E</u> C. S.R.L
3	Enlisted name	DANTI PAOLO &C. S.R.L (Annexure-I Sr no- 41) DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L., <u>COSTRUZIONI</u> MACCHINE TESSILI, ITALY (Annexure-III Sr No. 567)

The manufacturer replied vide email dated 11.09.2024 in response to this office email query for name differences. Mr. Antonio Danti, Director and Shareholder of the company declared as under,

- I. Hereby declares that the trade names:
  - a) DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L., COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE TESSILI, ITALY
  - b) DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L
  - c) DANTI PAOLO E C. S.R.L
  - d) DANTI PAOLO E C. SRL., COSTRUZIONE MACCHINE TESSILI, ITALY
  - e) DANTI PAOLO

Belong to the above-mentioned company, identified in Italy with fiscal code 01874900978 as per Chamber of Commerce Certificate.

- II. The company DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L. COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE TESSILI is a manufacturer of textile finishing machines with roots dating back in 1935 and is regularly selling textile finishing machines of its production, in India.
- III. In addition to above, the manufacturer informed that in Italy the characters “&” and “E” have the same meaning ( i.e. “and”) vide their letter dated 18.12.2023

173

**Decision Taken:** The committee reviewed the declaration given by the manufacturer and noted that the manufacturer is using various trade names other than enlisted name in invoice and machine name plate. The committee decided to call detailed note on branding exercise and marketing practice in line with 18<sup>th</sup> TAMC decision along with Trademark Certificate from the manufacturer.

**Agenda Point No- 06: Name Change Request from M/s. Southern Texmac Machinery Limited to M/s. Southern Texmac Limited.**

The unit enlisted at serial no.308 in Annexure-III as M/S. Southern Texmac Machinery Ltd., China (A Subsidiary Unit of M/S. United Texmac Pte Ltd. Singapore who enlisted at serial no.14 in Annexure-V).

The unit submitted the Registration form for Foreign Trade operator and Business License of M/s. Southern Texmac Limited attested by Consulate General of India, Guangzhou, China. In that document mentioned as M/s. Southern Texmac Limited is a English Trade name of the unit. The same has been declared by the Parent unit M/s. United Texmac Pte Ltd. Singapore in their letterhead that the company not adopted direct translation of Chinese name and they registered as M/s. Southern Texmac Limited.

By verifying the name in the China Government website using Unified Social Credit Code, the name shown as “**QuanzhouNanzhou Textile Machinery Co. Ltd.**”(online translated). Other than name all details are matching with documents submitted by unit.

**Section Note:** The unit submitted soft copy of above documents attested by Indian Embassy for the support of the English trade name as **M/s. Southern Texmac Limited**. Hard copies will be submitted by the unit as per the mail.

**Decision Taken 50<sup>th</sup> ITC dated 08.09.2022:** We may await the receipt of the original embassy Certificate from the Indian Embassy at Guangzhou.

Upon receipt of original documents on 15.09.2022 from the unit, the case was deliberated in 51<sup>st</sup> ITC.

**Decision Taken in 51<sup>st</sup> ITC dated 22.09.2022:-** The committee examined the documents submitted by unit and noted that the unit submitted Registration copy of Foreign Trade operator issued by China Council which is attested by the Indian Embassy at Guangzhou. Hence, the committee decided to call for business license (Chinese version) in the name of “M/s. Southern Texmac Limited” as a manufacturer in support of their name change request.

174

Further, the unit has submitted copy of business license with clarification letter vide email dated 17.08.2023. In that letter, the manufacturer informed that the company name in English is not required as part of company registration in China and merely used in export activities. An assigned "Unified Social Credit Code" is used for legal identification and English name is not requisite for any government and commercial transactions.

The business license got translated from this office appointed translator. In that translated copy name mentioned as "Fujian Quanzhou Southern Texmac Machinery Co. Ltd(STM)"

Section Note: The translated copy translation of Chinese version of Business license is same as per enlisted name only i.e. Southern Texmac Machinery Co. Ltd. contradicts to the request of the unit i.e. M/s. Southern Texmac Limited.

Decision Taken in 72<sup>nd</sup> meeting ITC: The committee noted that the translated copy of Chinese business license comprises the name of the manufacturer as enlisted by this office i.e. Southern Texmac Machinery Co. Ltd. Hence the committee did not accede the name change request of the manufacturer from M/s. Southern Texmac Machinery Limited to M/s. Southern Texmac Limited.

Further, the decision was discussed in 37<sup>th</sup> TAMC meeting dated 24.01.2024 and the committee ratified the same.

The manufacturer again submitted request letter again for reconsideration and the details as follows,

Decision Taken in 76<sup>th</sup> ITC: The committee decided to call representative of the manufacturer in the forthcoming ITC meeting to represent their case along with supporting documents.

Decision Taken: The representative from M/s Southern Texmac Machinery Ltd submitted their explanation before the committee. They explained that their all documents comprise the entity name as M /s Southern Texmac Ltd., only. The business license English version name is M /s Southern Texmac Ltd. however Chinese version name after translation M /s Southern Texmac Machinery Ltd... The representative also explained that they are unable to get any support/clarification from the Chinese government officials. After detailed discussion, the Committee decided to place this case in next ITC meeting with details of all cases under ATUFS in respect of M/s Southern Texmac Machinery Ltd such as invoice copies, name plate photos and case status from ATUFS cell.

175

**Agenda Point No-07: Enlistment of subsidiary unit**

M/s Macpi Group HK requested for enlistment as Authorized agent for below manufacturing companies:

- Macpi spa Pressing Division- Italy (Enlisted at Sr No-398 Annexure-III)
- M/s Fimassrl- Italy (Not enlisted under ATUFS)
- M/s Shanghai Manfield Garment Machinery Co. Ltd- China (Not enlisted under ATUFS)

In this regard unit has submitted company registration certificate along with dealership certificates from all above 3 manufacturers.

Based on documents scrutiny and observations, this office requested to submit MOU if unit is authorized agent of above manufacturers (as such no commission mentioned in dealership certificate) or if unit is subsidiary entity, to submit Annual report establishing the relationship among companies.

In lieu of above M/s Macpi Group HK submitted Annual report where Macpi group (HK) is subsidiary of M/s Macpi S.R.L., Italy- Parent holding company.

In this regard, this office requested to clarify relation among Macpi S.R.L. Italy, Macpi Spa Pressing division and Macpi Group (HK). The unit through their Indian agent Macpi Trading India Pvt Ltd submitted declaration issued by their legal advisor. As per declaration,

- Macpi SRL is holding company
- Macpi SPA pressing division is manufacturing Company
- Macpi Group (HK) is Sales subsidiary company

Decision taken 38<sup>th</sup> ITC held on 10.03.2022: The committee gone through the submitted documents and directed to call legal documents such as Annual report/ Audit report which comprises all 3 entities establishing relationship.

In response to this decision the unit submitted chamber of commerce documents (Macpi SRL and Macpi SPA pressing division) and annual return form (Macpi Group (HK)). This office requested to submit the documents as per 38<sup>th</sup> ITC decision.

Meanwhile, Shri. Mohanty, Director, M/s. Macpi India Pvt. Ltd. requested an appointment to meet personally for clarification. This office invited during the 46<sup>th</sup> ITC meeting. The case was deliberated and decision is under:

Decision Taken in 46<sup>th</sup> ITC meeting: The representative explained the relationship between M/s Macpi SRL as holding company, Macpi SPA pressing division as manufacturing Company and Macpi Group (HK) as sales subsidiary company. After detailed deliberation, the committee informed to submit legal document such as Audited balance sheet/ Annual report establishing subsidiary relationship of the aforesaid entities.

176  
Now, M/s MACPI Group has forwarded Annual report of MACPI S.R.L. group as discussed and decided with the representative during the 46<sup>th</sup> ITC meeting.

The MACPI has submitted following documents in support:

- Audited balance sheet till 31.12.2023
- Copy of digital sign
- English translated copy of the Enterprise Credit Information Report

As per the document it may be established that

**Parent holding company-** M/s MACPI SRL, Italy

**Subsidiaries:**

- M/s MACPI SPA Pressing Division
- MACPi HK Group
- Shanghai Manfield China
- Fimas SRL
- MB Italtec Solution

**Decision Taken:** The Committee examined the documents provided by the manufacturer and noted that the parent company i.e. Macpi SRL is neither enlisted nor applied for enlistment. However, the subsidiary unit M/s Macpi SPA Pressing Division is enlisted and other subsidiary unit M/s Macpi HK Group has applied for enlistment under ATUFS. The committee decided to call manufacturing activity, marketing practice such as who will raise invoice and other commercial documents, entity name available in machine name plate etc for the machines supplied to the country under ATUFS from the M/s MACPI SRL group.

**Agenda Point No-08:** Case forwarded by RO- Amritsar: Name Plate issue of M/s Krsna Engineering Works, Ahmadabad

RO- Amritsar forwarded case of M/s Shyam Texchem (P) Ltd, Amritsar (ATUFS beneficiary) where M/s Krsna Engineering Works, Ahmedabad supplied PLC based soft flow dying machine. During JIT it was noted that machine name plate consist only logo "KRSNA". The invoice copy contains both manufacturer name and logo.

Manufacturer i.e. M/s Krsna Engineering Works, Ahmedabad submitted its clarification on branding exercises and marketing practice. They informed that they were using only logo in their machine name plate as in case of M/s Shyam Texchem. However, due to various queries and TUFs guidelines, they started using full name also in machine name plates.

177

**Section Comments:**

- Invoice and machine name plate has same logo as given in submitted copy of trademark certificate.
- The logo available in this office records submitted during the enlistment is found same.
- The email and website available in machine name plate is also found same as available in records.
- RO- Amritsar also forwarded its recommendation as per 60<sup>th</sup> ITC decision.

**Decision Taken:** The Committee examined the case and noted that the decision has already been taken for similar cases in earlier ITC/TAMC meetings such as 31<sup>st</sup> TAMC (Agenda No.-09) and 34<sup>th</sup> TAMC (Sub Agenda No.-03 & 06). The committee decided to refer back the case to ATUFS section to take decision in similar line to previous decision taken by ITC/TAMC meetings.

**Agenda Point No-09: Case forwarded by TUFS Cell**

ATUFS cell forwarded the case of M/s SSM Fine Yarn (A Division of Sri Saravana Spinning Mills Pvt Ltd- ATUFS /2018-19/2982). M/s SSM Fine Yarn purchased PLC controlled Continuous Knit Fabric Bleaching Plant (MC-02-16) from M/s Erbatech Machinery Pvt Ltd, Coimbatore. The manufacturer raised the invoice on 18.12.2018; however, affix the name plate having engraving 2019 as Year of Manufacturing.

**Manufacture Clarification:** The manufacturing of machine is 2018 only and due to inadvertent error occurred on punching it engraved as 2019.

The aforesaid case was rejected by ATUFS cell based on 67<sup>th</sup> ITC decision, however the unit has represented the case to reconsider citing the decision taken in 38<sup>th</sup> TAMC meeting (Agenda No-04).

**Section Observation:** The decision of Agenda No.-04 in 38<sup>th</sup> TAMC meeting clearly states that the consideration is for that case only and is not a general relaxation. Further, the 38<sup>th</sup> TAMC agenda was regarding invoice raised prior to the machine dispatch. Therefore, this case does not appear to be similar in nature with 38<sup>th</sup> TAMC agenda.

**Decision Taken:** The Committee deliberated the case in detail and decided to call elaborated explanation from the machine manufacturer as how this error occurred in machine name plate in respect of year of manufacturing.

\*\*\*The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair\*\*\*

List of Machine Manufacturers placed before 77th Internal Technical Committee

Sl. no.	File no.	Name of the unit	Abroad	Embassy Certificate	Business License	Undertaking	Valid I.S.O/CE self declaration	Sales figure	Eligible Textile Machine covered under which annexure of ATUFS	Whether as per direction of 18th TAMC, i.e., Embassy Certificate was routed through MoFA of concern country?	Remark	Technical Committee Decision
1	C-88	M/s. CHANGZHOU RUNYUE MACHINERY CO. LTD., CHINA	Abroad	Yes	Yes	Yes	ISO	Yes	MC03-g--1 (Complete production lines or the component/ parts forming the production line for the manufacturer of following nonwovens upto rolled goods preparation and packing, viz vii Thermal Bond Nonwoven)	Yes (The Consulate General of India, Shanghai attested the Certificate of the China Council for the Promotion of International Trade)	1. RO-In fore vide letter dated 30.08.2024 forwarded enlistment request. 2. This office called few clarification from the manufacturer. The clarification received from the manufacturer is presented to the Committee on file for deliberation and decision.	Recommended
2	C-89	M/s. CHANGZHOU JINYI MACHINERY CO. LTD., CHINA	Abroad	Yes	Yes	Yes	ISO	Yes	MC03-g--1 (Complete production lines or the component/ parts forming the production line for the manufacturer of following nonwovens upto rolled goods preparation and packing, viz vii Thermal Bond Nonwoven)	Yes (The Consulate General of India, Shanghai attested the Certificate of the China Council for the Promotion of International Trade)	1. RO-In fore vide letter dated 30.08.2024 forwarded enlistment request. 2. This office called few clarification from the manufacturer. The clarification received from the manufacturer is presented to the Committee on file for deliberation and decision.	Recommended

Sachin Kumar  
19/11/2024

~~Office~~  
Sachin Kumar  
19/11/2024

Sachin Kumar  
19/11/2024

NKS  
N.K. Singh  
Assistant Director

Sl. no.	File no.	Name of the unit	Abroad	Embassy Certificate	Business License	Undertaking	Valid I.S.O/CE self declaration	Sales figure	Eligible Textile Machine covered under which annexure of ATUFS	Whether as per direction of 18th TAMC, i.e., Embassy Certificate was routed through MoFA of concern country?	Remark	Technical Committee Decision
3	S-228	M/s Shanghai Aurelia Technology Co., Ltd., China	Abroad	yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	MC-3-i-6, MC-3-g-1-iv, MC3-i-3	Verified Ministry of External Affairs, Republic of China has certified the Business Licence & Notarial of the applicant machine manufacturer, which is seen by Embassy of India, Shanghai. The 18th TAMC has decided to consider such documents.	The case was deliberated in 74th ITC meeting and the committee decided to call clarification from the manufacturer regarding business license scope and agent of Beijing Baositelun Science and technology as mentioned in website and balance sheet. <b>Manufacturer Clarification:</b> 1. M/s Shanghai Aurelia Technology Co. Ltd, China is the manufacturer of textile machinery. 2. Our business license explicitly includes textile products within its scope of business. 3. We also act as agent of Beijing Baositelun Science and Technology. But agent registration or enlistment of Beijing Baositelun Science and Technology is not required.	Recommended
4	N-48	M/s. Nantong Junwang Machinery Technology Development Co. Ltd., China	Abroad	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	MC-3-h-12 (PLC based coating for fusible interlinings)	The Consulate General of India, Shanghai attested the Certificate of the China Council for the Promotion of International Trade)	1. R.O. Indore vide letter dated 30.08.2024 forwarded enlistment request. 2. This office called few clarification from the manufacturer. The clarification received from the manufacturer is presented to the Committee on file for deliberation and decision.	Call for details from R.O. Indore as there is a difference in the unit name in Business Licence and machine name plate/ invoice.

*Signature*  
5  
DI

*Sachin Kumar*  
19/11/2024

*NGA*  
**NK Singh**  
Assistant Director

## List Agents for Machine Manufacturers placed before 77th Internal Technical Committee

Sl no.	File no.	Name of the authorized agent and its Parent machine manufacturer	Indigenous/ Abroad	MoU for Subsidiary unit /Authorized Agent with % of commission	Remark	Technical Committee Decision
1	Q-40	M/s. Wuxi Asian Front trading co. Ltd. China (authorized agent of M/s. Qingdao Wanhua Machinery Co. Ltd, China )	Abroad	Yes 1%	1. Parent unit enlisted at Sr.No. 23 in Annexure-III. Ro-Noida forwarded; enlistment request. 2. The case was deliberated in 76th ITC meeting and the committee decided to call clarification from the unit. The clarification received from the manufacturer is presented to the Committee on file for deliberation and decision.	Recommended
2	J-71	M/s Anhui Import And Export Co. Ltd., China (Auth. Agent of M/s JinjiangShunchang Machinery Co. Ltd., China)	Abroad	Yes 1%	1. Parent unit enlisted at Sr.No. 578 in Annexure-III. One authorised agent from China (Commission-0.45%) is enlisted at Sr No. 584 in Annexure-III. 2. The case was deliberated in 76th ITC meeting and the committee decided to call clarification from the unit. The clarification received from the manufacturer is presented to the Committee on file for decision.	Not Recommended as there is a difference in the signature of the same person in two MOUs submitted by the Unit.

~~Sachin Kumar~~  
19/11/2024  
D.D.

Sachin Kumar  
19/11/2024

NCS  
N K Singh  
Assistant Director